

**From:** Michael Horn <michael@theyfly.com>  
**Date:** July 10, 2008 12:10:11 PM PDT  
**To:** Derek Bartholomaus <derek@iigwest.com>, "James Underdown  
randi@randi.org" <jim@cfiwest.org>, jref@randi.org  
**Cc:** Victor Martinez  
**Subject:** For the record

To all,

I want to acknowledge Derek Bartholomaus for bringing to my attention previously published information regarding the claims of fraud involving the crystal skulls (see below). The crucial factors that will determine then how accurate Meier's information was, and if it preempts any other source of published information, will be if and when the existence and participation of Florian Rosenfelder can be determined to be true or untrue. So far I have been unable to find anything on this person and it may fall to interested parties in Germany to search for it further.

The information as published on the FIGU Forum can be found here:

<http://forum.figu.org/us/messages/14/6427.html?1154973045>

I don't know how widely available the information referred to by Derek was but certainly in the internet age one can assume that some or all of it may have been available there. So this is not to take anything away from Derek for his efforts, we certainly don't have scientifically incorrect information from Meier and even the article at [http://news.yahoo.com/s/afp/20080709/sc\\_afp/sciencearchaeologyentertainmentfilmsskull](http://news.yahoo.com/s/afp/20080709/sc_afp/sciencearchaeologyentertainmentfilmsskull) made no mention of earlier confirmation of proved hoaxing. So, for this one to be considered in the same category as other prophetically accurate information from Meier, the last remaining information needs to be dealt with, if possible.

In light of this, I should point out that my challenge to Derek, and all the other skeptics, regarding Meier's information about the 5,100 year-old man remains still unanswered (see: [http://theyfly.com/newsflash91/5100\\_year\\_old\\_man.htm](http://theyfly.com/newsflash91/5100_year_old_man.htm)).

Most importantly, regarding claims that Meier's hoaxed his UFO films, photos and videos using models, I have challenged Derek (and any others who would like to take the challenge) to defend the model premise regarding the UFO seen in the film clip referred to here <http://www.tjresearch.info/bachtel.htm>. Derek comments on this clip in his presentation in our Special Features segment of The Silent

Revolution of Truth.

The main point that we have brought out now is not whether the UFO can be seen to dip behind the hill (which we claim it does, perfectly clearly) but the POSSIBILITY at all that it could be a model. A partial rebuttal to that premise has been sent to Derek and other parties and he is again invited to answer it.

Again, hats off to Derek to pointing out where information about the crystal skulls could be found. Now, let's see if we can make progress in resolving our other issues and...find the truth of the matter.

MH

[www.theyfly.com](http://www.theyfly.com)

## Hello Victor.

Just so you are aware, scientific investigation led The British Museum to determine that the crystal skull in its possession was a hoax in 1996 ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crystal\\_skull](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crystal_skull)). Scientific investigation led Joe Nickell to determine that other crystal skulls were also hoaxes in 1988 (<http://skepdic.com/crystalskull.html>). Also, scientific investigation led

Jacques Vallee to determine that the Philadelphia Experiment was a hoax in 1994

([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philadelphia\\_experiment](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philadelphia_experiment) and <http://skepdic.com/philadel.html>).

So, once again, the scientific method predates the Meier predictions. This time by an impressive 10-18 years.

If you wish to discuss the efficacy of Meier's other predictions please feel free to contact me directly.

Sincerely,

Derek Bartholomaus  
Lead Investigator - The Billy Meier  
Case

[www.iigwest.org](http://www.iigwest.org)  
[derek@iigwest.com](mailto:derek@iigwest.com)